

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

OMMVBCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,455	11/17/2003	Kazuya Suzuki	8022-1063	2290	
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 12/19/20 OMPSON	07	EXAMINER		
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ZEE, EDWARD		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2135		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/713,455	SUZUKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Edward Zee	2135			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 No.</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E.</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the organization and request that any objection to the organization.	vn from consideration.  election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :11/17/03, 7/14/05, 10/07/05, 11/09/05.



10/713,455 Art Unit: 2135

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This is in response to the election to restriction filed on November 26<sup>th</sup>, 2007. Claims 20-59 are cancelled; Claims 1-19 are pending and have been considered below.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of Invention I (claims 1-19) in the reply filed on November 26<sup>th</sup>, 2007 is acknowledged.
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Objections

4. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: the Examiner notes that line 21 of the instant claim appears to contain a typographical error, the word "holes" should be changed to "holds". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

10/713,455

Art Unit: 2135

- 6. Claims 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claims 12 and 15 recite the limitation "said delivery server" in lines 14 & 15 and lines 17 & 23 respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- Claims 13 and 14 recite the limitation "said client terminal" in lines 4, 7 & 9 and lines 9 8. & 11 respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 9. Claims 16 and 17 recite the limitation "said client terminal" in lines 4, 7 & 8 and lines 9 & 10 respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 10. Claims 16 and 17 recite the limitation "said slave server" in line 6 and line 8 respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 11. Claims 1-4, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Briscoe (2003/0044017).
- Claim 1: Briscoe discloses a multicast delivery system comprising:
- a. a delivery server(ie. data sender) which enciphers delivery data by using a current use cipher key to generate enciphered data and transmits a multicast packet containing said enciphered data and a current use key identifier (ie. key sequence) indicative of a pair of said

10/713,455 Art Unit: 2135

current use cipher key and a current use decipher key as current use keys(ie. data sender issues seed values) [page 3, paragraph 0061];

b. a key management server(ie. key management node) which is connected with said delivery server through a network, holds as a current use key data(ie. key management application receives seed values from data senders), a set of said current use decipher key and said current use key identifier, and transmits a set of said current use decipher key and said current use key identifier as a current use decipherment key data in response to a current use key data request(ie. issues seed values to customer terminals) [page 3, paragraph 0061];

c. and a client terminal which is connected with said delivery server and said key management server through said network, receives said multicast packet from said deliver server, issues said current use key data request to said key management server to receive said current use decipherment key data from said key management server, holds said set of said current use decipher key and said current use key identifier, and deciphers said enciphered data contained in said multicast packet by using said current use decipher key when said current use key identifier contained in said multicast packet is coincident with said current use key identifier held in said client terminal (ie. key management node issues customer seed values to allow customers to generate keys corresponding to the key used to encrypt the data) [page 3, paragraph 0058].

Claim 2: Briscoe discloses the multicast delivery system according to claim 1, and further discloses that said delivery server generates (ie. key generation sub-module generates a sequence of keys) and holds as a current use encipherment key data, a set of said current use cipher key, said current use decipher key and said current use key identifier, and transmits a set of said current use decipher key and said current use key identifier as said current use decipherment key

10/713,455

Art Unit: 2135

data to said key management server, and said key management server holds said current use decipher key and said current use key identifier as said current use decipherment key data [page 3, paragraph 0062].

Claim 3: Briscoe discloses the multicast delivery system according to claim 2, and further discloses that said delivery server sets a current use key remaining effective time data(ie. the key is changed every game-minute) to said current use key data, and transmits a set of said current use decipher key, said current use key identifier, and said current use key remaining effective time data as said current use decipherment key data to said key management server, said key management server holds said current use decipherment key data, and said delivery server, said key management server and said client terminal decreases said current use key remaining effective time data as time elapses(ie. time keeper signals new game-minute) [pages 4 & 5, paragraphs 71 & 80].

Claim 4: Briscoe discloses the multicast delivery system according to claim 3, and further discloses that said delivery server generates (ie. increments ADU index to use next key in sequence) as a next use key data, a set of a next use cipher key, a next use decipher key, a next use key identifier indicative of a pair of said next use cipher key and a next use key remaining effective time data, when said current use key remaining effective time data becomes a first present value(ie. time-keeper signals a new game-minute), and transmits a set of said next use decipher key, said next use key identifier, and said next use key remaining effective time data to said key management server as a next use decipherment key data, and said key management server holds said next use decipher key data(ie. key managers synchronize by receiving the

10/713,455 Art Unit: 2135

changing stream of ADU sequence numbers from the multicast) [page 5, paragraphs 0080 & 0082].

Claim 18: Briscoe discloses the multicast delivery system according to claim 1, and further discloses that said key management server detects a data amount of said multicast packets and charges a fee to said client terminal based on said detected data amount (ie. pre-purchase a limited amount of video program material) [page 1, paragraph 0001].

Claim 19: Briscoe discloses the multicast delivery system according to claim 1, and further discloses that said client terminal issues said key data request to said key management server, and said key management server detects the number of said key data requests and charges a fee to said client terminal based on said detected number of key data requests (ie. charges per gameminute) [page 4, paragraph 0069].

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 5-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Briscoe</u> (2003/0044017) in view of <u>Larsen et al.</u> (7,068,791).
- Claim 5: Briscoe discloses the multicast delivery system according to claim 4, and further discloses that said client terminal issues a key request to a key management server (ie. issues seed values to customer terminals) [page 3, paragraph 0061], but does not explicitly disclose that a

10/713,455

Art Unit: 2135

next use key request to said key management server when said current use key remaining effective time data becomes a second present value smaller than said first preset value, and receives and holds said next use decipherment key data from said key management server.

However, <u>Larsen et al.</u> discloses a similar system and further discloses that a client terminal issues a next use key request to a key management server when a current use key remaining effective time data becomes a second present value smaller than said first preset value, and receives and holds said next use decipherment key data from said key management server(*ie.* when the renewal time is reached the user station must get the next network operator public key, however it will keep using the current key until it expires) [column 4, lines 10-17].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system disclosed by <u>Briscoe</u> with the feature disclosed by <u>Larsen et al.</u> in order to give all the client terminals a chance to get the next key before the current one expires, as suggested by <u>Larsen et al.</u> [column 4, lines 15-17].

Claim 6: Briscoe and Larsen et al. disclose the multicast delivery system according to claim 5, but Briscoe does not explicitly disclose that said delivery server enciphers said delivery data by using said next use cipher key as said current use cipher key after said current use key remaining effective time data becomes 0.

However, <u>Larsen et al.</u> further discloses that said delivery server enciphers said delivery data by using said next use cipher key as said current use cipher key after said current use key remaining effective time data becomes 0(ie. current key is used until it expires) [column 4, lines 15-17].

10/713,455

Art Unit: 2135

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system disclosed by <u>Briscoe</u> with the feature disclosed by <u>Larsen et al.</u> in order to give all the client terminals a chance to get the next key before the current one expires, as suggested by <u>Larsen et al.</u> [column 4, lines 15-17].

Claim 7: Briscoe discloses the multicast delivery system according to claim 1, and further discloses the delivery server generating current use key data(ie. key generation sub-module generates a sequence of keys) [page 3, paragraph 0062], but does not explicitly disclose that said delivery server issues a current use key data generating request to said key management server, said key management server generates and holds as a current use key data, a set of said current use cipher key, said current use decipher key and said current use key identifier in response to said current use key data generating request, and transmits a set of said current use cipher key and said current use key identifier as a current use encipherment key data to said delivery server, and said delivery server holds said current use encipherment key data.

However, <u>Larsen et al.</u> discloses a similar system and further discloses that a delivery server issues a current use key data generation request to a key management server, wherein the key management server transmits the current use key data to the delivery server(*ie. pass key request message to the network operator station*) [abstract].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system disclosed by <u>Briscoe</u> with the feature disclosed by <u>Larsen et al.</u> in order to prevent an unauthorized delivery server from interfering with legitimate users, as suggested by <u>Larsen et al.</u> [column 1, lines 33-40].

10/713,455 Art Unit: 2135

Claim 8: Briscoe and Larsen et al. disclose the multicast delivery system according to claim 7, and Briscoe further discloses that said key management server sets a current use key remaining

transmits a set of said current use decipher key, said current use key identifier, and said current

effective time data(ie. the key is changed every game-minute) to said current use key data, and

use key remaining effective time data as said current use encipherment key data to said delivery

server, said delivery server holds said current use encipherment key data, and said delivery

server, said key management server and said client terminal decreases said current use key

remaining effective time data as time elapses(ie. time keeper signals new game-minute) [pages 4

& 5, paragraphs 71 & 80].

Claim 9: Briscoe and Larsen et al. disclose the multicast delivery system according to claim 8, and Briscoe further discloses that said delivery server issues a next use key data generating request to said key management server, when said current use key remaining effective time data becomes a first present value (ie. time-keeper signals a new game-minute), said key management server generates (ie. increments ADU index to use next key in sequence) and holds as a next use key data, a set of a next use cipher key, a next use decipher key, a next use key identifier indicative of a pair of said next use cipher key and a next use key remaining effective time data in response to said next use key data generating request, and transmits a set of said next use encipher key, said next use key identifier, and said next use key remaining effective time data to said delivery server as a next use encipherment key data, and said delivery server holds said next use encipherment key data (ie. key managers synchronize by receiving the changing stream of

ADU sequence numbers from the multicast) [page 5, paragraphs 0080 & 0082].

10/713,455

Art Unit: 2135

Claim 10: Briscoe and Larsen et al. disclose the multicast delivery system according to claim 9, and Briscoe further discloses that said client terminal issues a key request to a key management server (ie. issues seed values to customer terminals) [page 3, paragraph 0061], but does not explicitly disclose that a next use key request to said key management server when said current use key remaining effective time data becomes a second present value smaller than said first preset value, and receives and holds said next use decipherment key data from said key management server.

However, <u>Larsen et al.</u> discloses a similar system and further discloses that a client terminal issues a next use key request to a key management server when a current use key remaining effective time data becomes a second present value smaller than said first preset value, and receives and holds said next use decipherment key data from said key management server(*ie.* when the renewal time is reached the user station must get the next network operator public key, however it will keep using the current key until it expires) [column 4, lines 10-17].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system disclosed by <u>Briscoe</u> with the feature disclosed by <u>Larsen et al.</u> in order to give all the client terminals a chance to get the next key before the current one expires, as suggested by <u>Larsen et al.</u> [column 4, lines 15-17].

Claim 11: Briscoe and Larsen et al. disclose the multicast delivery system according to claim 10, but Briscoe does not explicitly disclose that said delivery server enciphers said delivery data by using said next use cipher key as said current use cipher key after said current use key remaining effective time data becomes 0.

10/713,455

Art Unit: 2135

However, <u>Larsen et al.</u> further discloses that said delivery server enciphers said delivery data by using said next use cipher key as said current use cipher key after said current use key remaining effective time data becomes 0(ie. current key is used until it expires) [column 4, lines 15-17].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system disclosed by <u>Briscoe</u> with the feature disclosed by <u>Larsen et al.</u> in order to give all the client terminals a chance to get the next key before the current one expires, as suggested by Larsen et al. [column 4, lines 15-17].

Claim 12: Briscoe discloses the multicast delivery system according to claim 1, and further discloses a plurality of said delivery servers (ie. multi-sender multicast) [page 13, paragraph 0253], but does not explicitly disclose that each of said plurality of delivery server issues a next use key data generating request to said key management server while using said current use cipher key, said key management server generates and holds as a next use key data, a set of a next use cipher key, a next use decipher key and a current use key identifier indicative of a pair of said next use cipher key and said next use decipher key in response to said next use key data generating request, and transmits a set of said next use cipher key and said next use key identifier as a next use encipherment key data to said delivery server, and said delivery server holds said next use encipherment key data.

However, <u>Larsen et al.</u> discloses a similar system and further discloses that a delivery server issues a current use key data generation request to a key management server, wherein the key management server transmits the current use key data to the delivery server(*ie. pass key request message to the network operator station*) [abstract].

10/713,455 Art Unit: 2135

Furthermore, <u>Larsen et al.</u> discloses a delivery server issues a next use key request to a key management server when a current use key remaining effective time data becomes a second present value smaller than said first preset value, and receives and holds said next use decipherment key data from said key management server (ie. when the renewal time is reached the user station must get the next network operator public key, however it will keep using the current key until it expires) [column 4, lines 10-17].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system disclosed by <u>Briscoe</u> with the feature disclosed by <u>Larsen et al.</u> in order to prevent an unauthorized delivery server from interfering with legitimate users and give all the client terminals a chance to get the next key before the current one expires, as suggested by Larsen et al. [column 1, lines 33-40 & column 4, lines 15-17].

Claim 13: Briscoe and Larsen et al. disclose the multicast delivery system according to claim 12, but Briscoe does not explicitly disclose that each of said plurality of client terminals issues a next use decipher key request to said key management server when said client terminal does not hold said current use key identifier contained in said multicast packet, said key management server transmits a set of said next use decipher key and said next use key identifier to said client terminal as a next use decipherment key data, and said client terminal holds said next use decipherment key data.

However, <u>Larsen et al.</u> further discloses that a client terminal issues a next use key request to a key management server when a current use key remaining effective time data becomes a second present value smaller than said first preset value, and receives and holds said next use decipherment key data from said key management server (ie. when the renewal time is

10/713,455 Art Unit: 2135

reached the user station must get the next network operator public key, however it will keep using the current key until it expires) [column 4, lines 10-17].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system disclosed by <u>Briscoe</u> with the feature disclosed by <u>Larsen et al.</u> in order to give all the client terminals a chance to get the next key before the current one expires, as suggested by Larsen et al. [column 4, lines 15-17].

Claim 14: Briscoe and Larsen et al. disclose the multicast delivery system according to claim 12, and Briscoe further discloses that each of said plurality of delivery servers issues a key data change previous notice to said plurality of clients (ie. the key is changed every game-minute wherein the time keeper signals new game-minute), each of said plurality of client terminals issues a next use decipher key request to said key management server in response to said key data change previous notice, said key management server transmits a set of said next use decipher key and said next use key identifier to said client terminal as a next use decipherment key data, and said client terminal holds said next use decipherment key data [pages 4 & 5, paragraphs 71 & 80].

- Claim 15: Briscoe discloses the multicast delivery system according to claim 1, and further discloses:
- a. a plurality of said delivery servers (ie. multi-sender multicast) [page 13, paragraph 0253];
- b. and a plurality of said client terminals (ie. customer terminals) [page 2, paragraph 0035];

10/713,455 Art Unit: 2135

- c. a plurality of key management server(ie. plurality of key management nodes) [page 2, paragraph 0023];
  - d. but does not explicitly disclose:
    - i. a master server;
  - ii. and a plurality of slave servers, wherein each of said plurality of delivery servers issues a next use key data generating request to said master server while using said current use cipher key, said master server generates and holds as a next use key data, a set of a next use cipher key, a next use decipher key and a current use key identifier indicative of a pair of said next use cipher key and said next use decipher key in response to said next use key data generating request, transmits a set of said next use cipher key and said next use key identifier as a next use encipherment key data to said delivery server, and transmits a set of said next use decipher key and said next use key identifier as a next use decipher key and said next use key identifier as a next use decipherment key data to said plurality of slave servers, each of said plurality of slave servers holes said next use decipherment key data, and said delivery server holds said next use encipherment key data.

However, <u>Larsen et al.</u> discloses a similar system and further discloses a master key server(*ie. network operator*) and a plurality of slave servers(*ie. user stations*), wherein a delivery server issues a current use key data generation request to a master key server, wherein the master key server transmits the current use key data to the delivery server(*ie. pass key request message to the network operator station*) [abstract].

Furthermore, <u>Larsen et al.</u> discloses a delivery server issues a next use key request to a key management server when a current use key remaining effective time data becomes a second

10/713,455

Art Unit: 2135

present value smaller than said first preset value, and receives and holds said next use decipherment key data from said key management server(ie. when the renewal time is reached the user station must get the next network operator public key, however it will keep using the current key until it expires) [column 4, lines 10-17].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system disclosed by <u>Briscoe</u> with the feature disclosed by <u>Larsen et al.</u> in order to prevent an unauthorized delivery server from interfering with legitimate users and give all the client terminals a chance to get the next key before the current one expires, as suggested by <u>Larsen et al.</u> [column 1, lines 33-40 & column 4, lines 15-17].

Claim 16: Briscoe and Larsen et al. disclose the multicast delivery system according to claim 15, but Briscoe does not explicitly disclose that each of said plurality of client terminals issues a next use decipher key request to any of said plurality of slave servers when said client terminal does not hold said current use key identifier contained in said multicast packet, said slave server transmits said next use decipherment key data to said client terminal, and said client terminal holds said next use decipherment key data.

However, <u>Larsen et al.</u> further discloses that a client terminal issues a next use key request to a key management server when a current use key remaining effective time data becomes a second present value smaller than said first preset value, and receives and holds said next use decipherment key data from said key management server (ie. when the renewal time is reached the user station must get the next network operator public key, however it will keep using the current key until it expires) [column 4, lines 10-17].

10/713,455

Art Unit: 2135

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system disclosed by <u>Briscoe</u> with the feature disclosed by <u>Larsen et al.</u> in order to give all the client terminals a chance to get the next key before the current one expires, as suggested by <u>Larsen et al.</u> [column 4, lines 15-17].

Claim 17: Briscoe and Larsen et al. disclose the multicast delivery system according to claim 15, and Briscoe further discloses that each of said plurality of delivery servers issues a key data change previous notice to said plurality of clients (ie. the key is changed every game-minute wherein the time keeper signals new game-minute), each of said plurality of client terminals issues a next use decipher key request to any of said plurality of slave servers in response to said key data change previous notice, said slave server transmits said next use decipherment key data to said client terminal, and said client terminal holds said next use decipherment key data [pages 4 & 5, paragraphs 71 & 80].

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

14. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 15. Claims 1-4, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by <a href="MAPA"><u>AAPA</u></a> (Applicant admitted prior art, pages 1-18 of Applicant's own disclosure).
- Claim 1: AAPA discloses a multicast delivery system comprising:

10/713,455

Art Unit: 2135

a. a delivery server(ie. content server) which enciphers delivery data by using a current use cipher key to generate enciphered data and transmits a multicast packet containing said enciphered data and a current use key identifier indicative of a pair of said current use cipher key and a current use decipher key as current use keys(ie. content server transmits packets with a key request data) [page 2, lines 1-3];

b. a key management server(ie. key management server) which is connected with said delivery server through a network, holds as a current use key data, a set of said current use decipher key and said current use key identifier, and transmits a set of said current use decipher key and said current use key identifier as a current use decipherment key data in response to a current use key data request [page 2, lines 4-5];

c. and a client terminal which is connected with said delivery server and said key management server through said network, receives said multicast packet from said deliver server, issues said current use key data request to said key management server to receive said current use decipherment key data from said key management server, holds said set of said current use decipher key and said current use key identifier, and deciphers said enciphered data contained in said multicast packet by using said current use decipher key when said current use key identifier contained in said multicast packet is coincident with said current use key identifier held in said client terminal (ie. client requests key to the key management server) [page 2, lines 4-5].

Claim 2: AAPA discloses the multicast delivery system according to claim 1, and further discloses that said delivery server generates and holds as a current use encipherment key data, a set of said current use cipher key, said current use decipher key and said current use key identifier.

10/713,455 Art Unit: 2135

as said current use decipherment key data to said key management server, and said key management server holds said current use decipher key and said current use key identifier as said current use decipherment key data(ie. the key management server receives a new key when the content server starts transmission) [page 4, lines 23-26].

Claim 3: AAPA discloses the multicast delivery system according to claim 2, and further discloses that said delivery server sets a current use key remaining effective time data to said current use key data, and transmits a set of said current use decipher key, said current use key identifier, and said current use key remaining effective time data as said current use decipherment key data to said key management server, said key management server holds said current use decipherment key data, and said delivery server, said key management server and said client terminal decreases said current use key remaining effective time data as time elapses (ie. predetermined time interval) [page 2, lines 3-4].

Claim 4: AAPA discloses the multicast delivery system according to claim 3, and further discloses that said delivery server generates as a next use key data, a set of a next use cipher key, a next use decipher key, a next use key identifier indicative of a pair of said next use cipher key and a next use key remaining effective time data, when said current use key remaining effective time data becomes a first present value, and transmits a set of said next use decipher key, said next use key identifier, and said next use key remaining effective time data to said key management server as a next use decipherment key data, and said key management server holds said next use decipher key data(ie. content server sends new key to key management server when the key is changed) [page 4, lines 23-26].

10/713,455 Art Unit: 2135

Claim 18: AAPA discloses the multicast delivery system according to claim 1, and further discloses that said key management server detects a data amount of said multicast packets and charges a fee to said client terminal based on said detected data amount (ie. subscriber contracts the charge program broadcasting) [page 6, lines 20-24].

Claim 19: AAPA discloses the multicast delivery system according to claim 1, and further discloses that said client terminal issues said key data request to said key management server, and said key management server detects the number of said key data requests and charges a fee to said client terminal based on said detected number of key data requests (ie. a contract determining section determines the existence of the subscriber contract based on the key data) [page 7, lines 11-19].

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 17. Claims 5-8, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in view of Larsen et al. (7,068,791).
- Claim 5: AAPA discloses the multicast delivery system according to claim 4, and further discloses that said client terminal issues a key request to a key management server (ie. client request key to key server) [page 2, lines 14-15], but does not explicitly disclose that a next use key request to said key management server when said current use key remaining effective time

10/713,455 Art Unit: 2135

data becomes a second present value smaller than said first preset value, and receives and holds said next use decipherment key data from said key management server.

However, <u>Larsen et al.</u> discloses a similar system and further discloses that a client terminal issues a next use key request to a key management server when a current use key remaining effective time data becomes a second present value smaller than said first preset value, and receives and holds said next use decipherment key data from said key management server(*ie.* when the renewal time is reached the user station must get the next network operator public key, however it will keep using the current key until it expires) [column 4, lines 10-17].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system disclosed by <u>AAPA</u> with the feature disclosed by <u>Larsen et al.</u> in order to give all the client terminals a chance to get the next key before the current one expires, as suggested by <u>Larsen et al.</u> [column 4, lines 15-17].

Claim 6: AAPA and Larsen et al. disclose the multicast delivery system according to claim 5, but AAPA does not explicitly disclose that said delivery server enciphers said delivery data by using said next use cipher key as said current use cipher key after said current use key remaining effective time data becomes 0.

However, <u>Larsen et al.</u> further discloses that said delivery server enciphers said delivery data by using said next use cipher key as said current use cipher key after said current use key remaining effective time data becomes 0(ie. current key is used until it expires) [column 4, lines 15-17].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system disclosed by AAPA with the feature disclosed by Larsen et al. in

10/713,455

Art Unit: 2135

order to give all the client terminals a chance to get the next key before the current one expires, as suggested by <u>Larsen et al.</u> [column 4, lines 15-17].

Claim 7: AAPA discloses the multicast delivery system according to claim 1, and further discloses the delivery server generating current use key data(ie. the key management server receives a new key when the content server starts transmission) [page 4, lines 23-26], but does not explicitly disclose that said delivery server issues a current use key data generating request to said key management server, said key management server generates and holds as a current use key data, a set of said current use cipher key, said current use decipher key and said current use key identifier in response to said current use key data generating request, and transmits a set of said current use cipher key and said current use key identifier as a current use encipherment key data to said delivery server, and said delivery server holds said current use encipherment key data.

However, <u>Larsen et al.</u> discloses a similar system and further discloses that a delivery server issues a current use key data generation request to a key management server, wherein the key management server transmits the current use key data to the delivery server(*ie. pass key request message to the network operator station*) [abstract].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system disclosed by <u>AAPA</u> with the feature disclosed by <u>Larsen et al.</u> in order to prevent an unauthorized delivery server from interfering with legitimate users, as suggested by <u>Larsen et al.</u> [column 1, lines 33-40].

Claim 8: AAPA and Larsen et al. disclose the multicast delivery system according to claim 7, and AAPA further discloses that said key management server sets a current use key remaining

10/713,455

Art Unit: 2135

effective time data(ie. predetermined time interval) to said current use key data, and transmits a set of said current use decipher key, said current use key identifier, and said current use key remaining effective time data as said current use encipherment key data to said delivery server, said delivery server holds said current use encipherment key data, and said delivery server, said key management server and said client terminal decreases said current use key remaining effective time data as time elapses(ie. the key management server needs to deliver the key to the client) [page 2, lines 3-4 & page 5, lines 1-4].

Claim 15: AAPA discloses the multicast delivery system according to claim 1, but does not explicitly disclose:

- a. a plurality of said delivery servers;
- b. and a plurality of said client terminals;
- c. a plurality of key management server, comprising:
  - i. a master server;

ii. and a plurality of slave servers, wherein each of said plurality of delivery servers issues a next use key data generating request to said master server while using said current use cipher key, said master server generates and holds as a next use key data, a set of a next use cipher key, a next use decipher key and a current use key identifier indicative of a pair of said next use cipher key and said next use decipher key in response to said next use key data generating request, transmits a set of said next use cipher key and said next use key identifier as a next use encipherment key data to said delivery server, and transmits a set of said next use decipher key and said next use key identifier as a next use decipher key and said next use key identifier as a next use decipherment key data to said plurality of slave servers, each of said

10/713,455

Art Unit: 2135

plurality of slave servers holes said next use decipherment key data, and said delivery server holds said next use encipherment key data.

However, <u>Larsen et al.</u> discloses a similar system and further discloses a master key server(*ie. network operator*) and a plurality of slave servers(*ie. user stations*), wherein a delivery server issues a current use key data generation request to a master key server, wherein the master key server transmits the current use key data to the delivery server(*ie. pass key request message to the network operator station*) [abstract].

Furthermore, <u>Larsen et al.</u> discloses a delivery server issues a next use key request to a key management server when a current use key remaining effective time data becomes a second present value smaller than said first preset value, and receives and holds said next use decipherment key data from said key management server(*ie. when the renewal time is reached the user station must get the next network operator public key, however it will keep using the current key until it expires*) [column 4, lines 10-17].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system disclosed by <u>AAPA</u> with the features disclosed by <u>Larsen et al.</u> in order to prevent an unauthorized delivery server from interfering with legitimate users and give all the client terminals a chance to get the next key before the current one expires, as suggested by <u>Larsen et al.</u> [column 1, lines 33-40 & column 4, lines 15-17].

Claim 16: AAPA and Larsen et al. disclose the multicast delivery system according to claim 15, but AAPA does not explicitly disclose that each of said plurality of client terminals issues a next use decipher key request to any of said plurality of slave servers when said client terminal does not hold said current use key identifier contained in said multicast packet, said slave server

10/713,455

Art Unit: 2135

transmits said next use decipherment key data to said client terminal, and said client terminal holds said next use decipherment key data.

However, Larsen et al. further discloses that a client terminal issues a next use key request to a key management server when a current use key remaining effective time data becomes a second present value smaller than said first preset value, and receives and holds said next use decipherment key data from said key management server(ie. when the renewal time is reached the user station must get the next network operator public key, however it will keep using the current key until it expires) [column 4, lines 10-17].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system disclosed by AAPA with the feature disclosed by Larsen et al. in order to give all the client terminals a chance to get the next key before the current one expires, as suggested by Larsen et al. [column 4, lines 15-17].

18. Claims 9-14 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in view of Larsen et al. (7,068,791) and further in view of Briscoe (2003/0044017). Claim 9: AAPA and Larsen et al. disclose the multicast delivery system according to claim 8, but AAPA does not explicitly disclose that said delivery server issues a next use key data generating request to said key management server, when said current use key remaining effective time data becomes a first present value, said key management server generates and holds as a next use key data, a set of a next use cipher key, a next use decipher key, a next use key identifier indicative of a pair of said next use cipher key and a next use key remaining effective time data in response to said next use key data generating request, and transmits a set of said next use encipher key, said next use key identifier, and said next use key remaining effective time data to

10/713,455 Art Unit: 2135

said delivery server as a next use encipherment key data, and said delivery server holds said next use encipherment key data.

However, Briscoe discloses a similar system and further discloses that said delivery server issues a next use key data generating request to said key management server, when said current use key remaining effective time data becomes a first present value (ie. time-keeper signals a new game-minute), said key management server generates (ie. increments ADU index to use next key in sequence) and holds as a next use key data, a set of a next use cipher key, a next use decipher key, a next use key identifier indicative of a pair of said next use cipher key and a next use key remaining effective time data in response to said next use key data generating request, and transmits a set of said next use encipher key, said next use key identifier, and said next use key remaining effective time data to said delivery server as a next use encipherment key data, and said delivery server holds said next use encipherment key data(ie. key managers synchronize by receiving the changing stream of ADU sequence numbers from the multicast) [page 5, paragraphs 0080 & 0082].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to further modify the invention disclosed by <u>AAPA</u> and <u>Larsen et al.</u> with the features disclosed by <u>Briscoe</u> in order to give all the client terminals a chance to get the next key before the current one expires, as suggested by <u>Larsen et al.</u> [column 4, lines 15-17].

Claim 10: AAPA, Larsen et al. and Briscoe disclose the multicast delivery system according to claim 9, and AAPA further discloses that said client terminal issues a key request to a key management server (ie. client request key to key server) [page 2, lines 14-15], but does not explicitly disclose that a next use key request to said key management server when said current

10/713,455

Art Unit: 2135

use key remaining effective time data becomes a second present value smaller than said first preset value, and receives and holds said next use decipherment key data from said key management server.

However, <u>Larsen et al.</u> discloses a similar system and further discloses that a client terminal issues a next use key request to a key management server when a current use key remaining effective time data becomes a second present value smaller than said first preset value, and receives and holds said next use decipherment key data from said key management server(*ie.* when the renewal time is reached the user station must get the next network operator public key, however it will keep using the current key until it expires) [column 4, lines 10-17].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system disclosed by <u>AAPA</u> with the feature disclosed by <u>Larsen et al.</u> in order to give all the client terminals a chance to get the next key before the current one expires, as suggested by <u>Larsen et al.</u> [column 4, lines 15-17].

Claim 11: AAPA, Larsen et al. and Briscoe disclose the multicast delivery system according to claim 10, but AAPA does not explicitly disclose that said delivery server enciphers said delivery data by using said next use cipher key as said current use cipher key after said current use key remaining effective time data becomes 0.

However, <u>Larsen et al.</u> further discloses that said delivery server enciphers said delivery data by using said next use cipher key as said current use cipher key after said current use key remaining effective time data becomes 0(ie. current key is used until it expires) [column 4, lines 15-17].

10/713,455

Art Unit: 2135

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system disclosed by <u>AAPA</u> with the feature disclosed by <u>Larsen et al.</u> in order to give all the client terminals a chance to get the next key before the current one expires, as suggested by <u>Larsen et al.</u> [column 4, lines 15-17].

Claim 12: AAPA discloses the multicast delivery system according to claim 1, but does not explicitly disclose that a plurality of said delivery servers issues a next use key data generating request to said key management server while using said current use cipher key, said key management server generates and holds as a next use key data, a set of a next use cipher key, a next use decipher key and a current use key identifier indicative of a pair of said next use cipher key and said next use decipher key in response to said next use key data generating request, and transmits a set of said next use cipher key and said next use key identifier as a next use encipherment key data to said delivery server, and said delivery server holds said next use encipherment key data.

However, <u>Briscoe</u> discloses a similar system and further discloses a plurality of said delivery servers (ie. multi-sender multicast) [page 13, paragraph 0253].

Furthermore, <u>Larsen et al.</u> discloses a similar system and further discloses that a delivery server issues a current use key data generation request to a key management server, wherein the key management server transmits the current use key data to the delivery server(*ie. pass key request message to the network operator station*) [abstract].

Additionally, <u>Larsen et al.</u> discloses a delivery server issues a next use key request to a key management server when a current use key remaining effective time data becomes a second present value smaller than said first preset value, and receives and holds said next use

10/713,455

Art Unit: 2135

decipherment key data from said key management server(ie. when the renewal time is reached the user station must get the next network operator public key, however it will keep using the current key until it expires) [column 4, lines 10-17].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system disclosed by <u>AAPA</u> with the features disclosed by <u>Briscoe</u> and <u>Larsen et al.</u> in order to prevent an unauthorized delivery server from interfering with legitimate users and give all the client terminals a chance to get the next key before the current one expires, as suggested by <u>Larsen et al.</u> [column 1, lines 33-40 & column 4, lines 15-17].

Claim 13: AAPA, Larsen et al. and Briscoe disclose the multicast delivery system according to claim 12, but AAPA does not explicitly disclose that each of said plurality of client terminals issues a next use decipher key request to said key management server when said client terminal does not hold said current use key identifier contained in said multicast packet, said key management server transmits a set of said next use decipher key and said next use key identifier to said client terminal as a next use decipherment key data, and said client terminal holds said next use decipherment key data.

However, <u>Larsen et al.</u> further discloses that a client terminal issues a next use key request to a key management server when a current use key remaining effective time data becomes a second present value smaller than said first preset value, and receives and holds said next use decipherment key data from said key management server(*ie. when the renewal time is reached the user station must get the next network operator public key, however it will keep using the current key until it expires*) [column 4, lines 10-17].

10/713,455

Art Unit: 2135

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system disclosed by <u>AAPA</u> with the feature disclosed by <u>Larsen et al.</u> in order to give all the client terminals a chance to get the next key before the current one expires, as suggested by <u>Larsen et al.</u> [column 4, lines 15-17].

Claim 14: AAPA, Larsen et al. and Briscoe disclose the multicast delivery system according to claim 12, but AAPA does not explicitly disclose that each of said plurality of delivery servers issues a key data change previous notice to said plurality of clients, each of said plurality of client terminals issues a next use decipher key request to said key management server in response to said key data change previous notice, said key management server transmits a set of said next use decipher key and said next use key identifier to said client terminal as a next use decipherment key data, and said client terminal holds said next use decipherment key data.

However, <u>Briscoe</u> further discloses that each of said plurality of delivery servers issues a key data change previous notice to said plurality of clients (ie. the key is changed every game-minute wherein the time keeper signals new game-minute), each of said plurality of client terminals issues a next use decipher key request to said key management server in response to said key data change previous notice, said key management server transmits a set of said next use decipher key and said next use key identifier to said client terminal as a next use decipherment key data, and said client terminal holds said next use decipherment key data [pages 4 & 5, paragraphs 71 & 80].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to further modify the invention disclosed by <u>AAPA</u> with the features disclosed by

10/713,455

Art Unit: 2135

Briscoe in order to give all the client terminals a chance to get the next key before the current one expires, as suggested by Larsen et al. [column 4, lines 15-17]

Claim 17: AAPA and Larsen et al. disclose the multicast delivery system according to claim 15, but AAPA does not explicitly disclose that each of said plurality of delivery servers issues a key data change previous notice to said plurality of clients, each of said plurality of client terminals issues a next use decipher key request to any of said plurality of slave servers in response to said key data change previous notice, said slave server transmits said next use decipherment key data to said client terminal, and said client terminal holds said next use decipherment key data.

However, <u>Briscoe</u> discloses a similar system and further discloses that each of said plurality of delivery servers issues a key data change previous notice to said plurality of clients (ie. the key is changed every game-minute wherein the time keeper signals new game-minute), each of said plurality of client terminals issues a next use decipher key request to any of said plurality of slave servers in response to said key data change previous notice, said slave server transmits said next use decipherment key data to said client terminal, and said client terminal holds said next use decipherment key data [pages 4 & 5, paragraphs 71 & 80].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to further modify the invention disclosed by <u>AAPA</u> and <u>Larsen et al.</u> with the features disclosed by <u>Briscoe</u> in order to give all the client terminals a chance to get the next key before the current one expires, as suggested by <u>Larsen et al.</u> [column 4, lines 15-17].

10/713,455

Art Unit: 2135

#### Conclusion

19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dillon (5,659,615) and Rinaldi (2003/0169885).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Zee whose telephone number is (571) 270-1686. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 9:00AM-5:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Y. Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ΕZ

December 9<sup>th</sup>, 2007

SORY PATENT EXAL